

**Amendments to the Drawings:**

The attached annotated sheet of drawings includes FIGS. 1 and 2. The annotated sheet of drawings shows changes to FIG. 1. Replacement Sheet 1, which include FIGS. 1 and 2, replaces the original sheet 1, which also include FIGS. 1 and 2.

Applicant has amended FIG. 1 to include a reference to flap 40. No new subject matter has been added.

Attachments:     Annotated Sheet 1 Showing Changes  
                              (FIGS. 1 and 2)

Replacement Sheet 1 Including Changes  
(FIGS. 1 and 2)

**ARGUMENTS/REMARKS**

Summary of Office Action

Claims 1-22 are pending in the application.

Claims 11-22 were allowed.

The drawings were objected to as failing to comply with 37 C.F.R. § 1.84(p)(5).

Claim 1 was objected to because of a typographical error.

Claims 1 and 6-10 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by Taylor U.S. Design Patent No. 503,025-S (hereinafter "Taylor").

Claims 4 and 5 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Taylor in view of Walton U.S. Patent No. 6,652,178 (hereinafter "Walton").

Claims 2 and 3 were objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but were determined to be allowable if rewritten in independent form to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Summary of Applicant's Amendments

Applicant notes with appreciation the allowance of claims 11-22 and the indication of allowable subject matter in claims 2 and 3.

Applicant has amended FIG. 1.

Applicant has amended claims 1, 6 and 7 solely in

order to expedite prosecution.

Applicant has amended claims 2 and 3 to be in independent form.

Applicant respectfully traverses the Examiner's rejections. Applicant reserves the right to claim any subject matter lost by any amendment or cancellation in one or more continuation or divisional applications.

Applicant's Reply to the Drawing Objections

The Examiner objected to FIG. 1 for missing a reference numeral - reference 40. Applicant has amended FIG. 1 to include a reference 40. Accordingly, applicant respectfully requests that the Examiner's objection to the drawings be withdrawn.

Applicant's Reply to the Rejection Under 35 U.S.C. § 102

The Examiner rejected claims 1 and 6-10 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by Taylor.

Applicant's invention, as defined by amended claim 1, includes a page and at least one pocket, the pocket including a notched out portion disposed adjacent an opening of the pocket on the front wall.

Taylor allegedly discusses an attachment means attached to the front of the design.

The Examiner stated that Taylor shows "a window (E) disposed adjacent an opening (F) of the pocket." (Office Action, page 4)\_

Taylor does not show or suggest a window. However, solely to expedite prosecution, applicant has amended claim 1 to include a notched out portion. Taylor does not show or suggest applicant's invention of claim 1 of a notched out portion. At best, Taylor merely shows a design that allegedly includes an attachment means.

In light of the foregoing, applicant respectfully requests that the Examiner's rejection of claim 1, and any claims dependent therefrom, under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) be withdrawn.

Applicant's Reply to the Rejection Under 35 U.S.C. § 103

The Examiner rejected claims 4 and 5 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being obvious from Taylor in view of Walton.

Claims 4 and 5, however, depend from patentable claim 1. Accordingly, claims 4 and 5 are patentable for depending from a patentable independent claim.

Applicant's Reply to the Claim Objections

The Examiner objected to claims 2 and 3 for being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but indicated that these claims would be allowable if rewritten in independent form

including all of the limitations of the base claim. Applicant has amended dependent claims 2 and 3 to be in independent form.

For at least the foregoing reasons, applicant respectfully submits that amended independent claims 2 and 3 and any claims that depend thereon are patentable. Accordingly, applicant respectfully requests that the objection of claims 2 and 3 be withdrawn.

Conclusion

Applicants respectfully submit that this application, including the pending claims, is in condition for allowance. An early and favorable action is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,




---

Jeffrey D. Mullen  
Reg. No. 52,056  
Agent for Applicants  
Fish & Neave IP Group  
ROPES & GRAY LLP  
Customer No. 1473  
1251 Avenue of the Americas  
New York, New York 10020-1105  
Tel.: (212) 596-9000  
Fax: (212) 596-9090



Applicant:: Mark Vanderberg  
Filed: April 8, 2004  
For: DISPLAY PAGE WITH POCKETS  
Agent: Jeffrey D. Mullen  
USPTO Docket No.: 33170-1  
R&G Docket Nos.: 104557-0016-101, BHA/016  
Application No.: 10/821,227  
Reg. No. 52,056  
Express Mail: EV620768093US  
**ANNOTATED SHEET**

**FIG. 2**



**FIG. 1**

